





**System KZR INiG/10**


	<b>Certification system of sustainable biofuels, biomass fuels and bioliquids production</b>	Issue: 3 <sup>rd</sup>
	<b>Guidelines for auditor and conduct of audit</b>	Date:19/12/2023

<p><b>Guidelines for auditor and conduct of audit</b></p> <p>by The Oil and Gas Institute - National Research Institute</p> <p>The KZR INiG System/10</p>
---

	<b>Certification system of sustainable biofuels, biomass fuels and bioliquids production</b>	Issue: 3 <sup>rd</sup>
		Date: 19/12/23
	<b>Guidelines for auditor and conduct of audit</b>	Page 3 of 47

## **List of contents**

1. Introduction .....	4
2. Scope .....	4
3. Normative references .....	4
4. Definitions .....	5
5. Description and requirements.....	5
5.1. Requirements for an auditor .....	5
5.1.1. Foundation of professionalism .....	5
5.1.2. Reliable presentation .....	8
5.1.3. Independence.....	8
5.1.4. Professional care .....	8
5.1.5. Confidentiality.....	8
5.1.6. The maintenance of competence .....	8
5.2. Requirements for technical expert.....	9
5.3. Technical reviewer .....	10
5.3.1. Technical reviewer – competences .....	10
5.3.2. Technical reviewer – tasks .....	11
5.4. Description of the conformity assessment process.....	11
5.4.1. Types of non-conformities .....	13
6. Credibility and reliability of data .....	16
7. Auditing.....	16
7.1. Farmers.....	17
7.2. Natural and non-natural highly biodiverse grassland.....	19
7.3. Lumber mills .....	20
7.4. First Gathering Points (FGP).....	20
7.5. Multi-site economic operators.....	25
7.6. Biogas/biomethane plants .....	26
7.7. GHG emissions .....	26
7.8. Mass balance .....	28
7.9. Union database .....	29
8. Risk evaluation.....	29
8.1. For EU countries .....	30
8.2. For non-EU countries .....	31
9. References .....	36
10. Annexes list.....	36
1. Annex 1 – Confidentiality Declaration Form.....	36
2. Annex 2 – Checklist Attached separately .....	36
11. Changes compared to the previous edition .....	38

	<b>Certification system of sustainable biofuels, biomass fuels and bioliquids production</b>	Issue: 3 <sup>rd</sup>
	<b>Guidelines for auditor and conduct of audit</b>	Date: 19/12/2023
		Page 4 of 47

## **1. Introduction**

Article 30 (3) of Directive 2018/2001 ("the RED II") requires that economic operators provide data concerning compliance with the sustainability criteria, confirmed by an independent audit. The audit verifies whether the systems used by economic operators are precise, reliable, and protected against fraud. This leads to the necessity of ensuring a high standard of audits, carried out by a professional team.

Auditors are persons qualified to conduct audits, and with technical knowledge acquired through a professional career and through training in the sustainability issues defined in the RED II. These persons have been trained on the KZR INiG certification system and possess documented knowledge of requirements for quality and/or environmental management systems auditing. In justified cases, an auditing team shall be supported by a technical expert, as required, who shall operate under the direction of an auditor.

## **2. Scope**

This document presents requirements for the compliance assessment process in the certification of sustainable biofuels, biomass fuels and bioliquids production, as specified in the KZR INiG certification system. The auditors' competence requirements are defined.

## **3. Normative references**

The normative references, covering all aspects of the KZR INiG System, are the following linked documents, which should be read in conjunction.

*KZR INiG System /1/ Description of the KZR INiG System – general rules*

*KZR INiG System /2/ Definitions*

*KZR INiG System /3/ Reference with national legislation*

*KZR INiG System /4/ Land use for raw materials production – lands with high carbon stock*

*KZR INiG System /5/ Land use for raw materials production – biodiversity*

*KZR INiG System /6/ Land use for raw materials production – agricultural and environmental requirements and standards*

*KZR INiG System /7/ Guidance for proper functioning of mass balance system*


*KZR INiG System /8/ Guidelines for the determination of the life cycle per unit values of GHG emissions for biofuels, biomass fuels and bioliquids*

*KZR INiG System /9/ Requirements for certification bodies*

*KZR INiG System /10/ Guidelines for auditor and conduct of audit*

*KZR INiG System /11/ Forest biomass*

*and*

	<b>Certification system of sustainable biofuels, biomass fuels and bioliquids production</b>	Issue: 3 <sup>rd</sup>
		Date: 19/12/23
	<b>Guidelines for auditor and conduct of audit</b>	Page 5 of 47

*EN ISO 19011 Guidelines for auditing management systems*

*EN ISO/IEC 17065 Conformity assessment — Requirements for bodies certifying products, processes and services*

## **4. Definitions**

*KZR INiG System/2/ Definitions*

## **5. Description and requirements**

### **5.1. Requirements for an auditor**

In accordance with the requirements of the KZR INiG System, it is necessary to ensure that audits conform to the System's guidelines, and that persons designated to conduct audits have the appropriate competence.

In order to confirm fulfillment of the requirements of the KZR INiG System for assessing the sustainability of biofuels/biomass/biomass fuels/bioliquids are met, the manager of a certification body appoints auditors who:

- ✓ are **external**: auditor cannot provide work for the certified entity; An exception is possible for the audit of point (a) of Article 29(6) and point (a) of Article 29(7) of the RED II, in which case first or second party auditing may be used up to the first gathering point (FGP);
- ✓ are **independent**: auditors are independent of the activity being audited and free from conflicts of interest;
- ✓ have **general qualifications**: the certification body must have general qualifications to conduct the audit; and
- ✓ have the **appropriate specific qualifications**: the auditors must have qualifications necessary for assessing the evidence provided or required, in terms of the System criteria.


Auditors are obliged to make a **confidentiality declaration**.

#### **5.1.1. Foundation of professionalism**

Auditors shall demonstrate competences in the area in which they perform verification. Auditors shall be appointed to verification of defined certification area (e.g., verification of FGP, GHG emission etc.).

In accordance with KZR INiG System requirements, **the audit team** must have proper qualifications.

Each auditor shall demonstrate at least:


	<b>Certification system of sustainable biofuels, biomass fuels and bioliquids production</b>	Issue: 3 <sup>rd</sup>
	<b>Guidelines for auditor and conduct of audit</b>	Date:19/12/2023
		Page 6 of 47

- ✓ have **3 years** of professional experience, including at least 2 years working in the relevant area of quality and/or environmental management system auditing;
- ✓ complete a training course (**40 hours**) in management systems auditing, to ISO 19011 or equivalent standard, carried out by a training body that issues certificates upon course completion;
- ✓ conduct audits in accordance with the requirements of the ISO 19011 standard;
- ✓ prove **participation** in at least certification and/or surveillance of **10 audits**, totaling **15 days** according to ISO, CEN, voluntary scheme recognised by the European Commission, EU Regulations or similar as auditor;
- ✓ have **knowledge of the KZR INiG System requirements**;
- ✓ successfully complete training in the KZR INiG System requirements (**positive result of an exam**).


In order to maintain appointment of the auditor, the auditor has to carry out at least one KZR INiG audit per year. Otherwise, the auditor is suspended. Re-appointment may be made if the auditor passes the KZR INiG basic training with positive result of the exam and passes the evaluation according to internal CB rules. Please note that all current competency requirements for the auditor shall be met.

Beside of above-mentioned criteria, in case of certification of particular certification scope, auditors have to meet additional requirements. For auditors who do not meet following criteria, but intend to gain relevant qualification, they must participate at least in 5 audits (30 hours) as trainee auditor and pass evaluation during witness audit. Evaluation is performed according to the internal CB's rules.

Qualification	Requirements
Verification of GHG emissions (all certification pathways including GHG emissions of recycled carbon fuels and renewable fuels of non-biological origin)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• a minimum of 2 years' experience in fuel life-cycle assessment, and specific experience in auditing GHG emission calculations in accordance with the methodology set out in Annexes V and VI to Directive (EU) 2018/2001, that is relevant for the type of audits to be conducted by the individual auditor. Depending on the specific scope of the audit, that experience shall be complemented by experience in agriculture, agronomy, ecology, forestry, natural science, silviculture, engineering, energy management or a related field. Where the scope of the audit includes verifying soil organic carbon levels, for the purpose of applying the emission saving credit</li> </ul>

	<b>Certification system of sustainable biofuels, biomass fuels and bioliquids production</b>	Issue: 3 <sup>rd</sup>
		Date: 19/12/23
	<b>Guidelines for auditor and conduct of audit</b>	Page 7 of 47

	<p>for soil carbon accumulation, technical knowledge on soil science shall also be required;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Always if there is a significant change in GHG methodology, the refreshing training shall be passed. The KZR INiG informs about the significant change and necessity of refreshing training.</li> <li>• Relevant experience in depending on the type of audits to be conducted by the individual auditor is required.</li> </ul>
Agricultural waste/residue gathering point	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• education in agriculture or at least 2 years of experience in verification of farmers according to RED or RED II</li> </ul>
Waste/residue gathering point	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• at least 5 audits of verification of processing units as lead auditor.</li> </ul>
Forestry biomass gathering point	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Education in forestry <b>or</b></li> <li>• log sorter authorization (6 days training) <b>or</b></li> <li>• at least 10 audits experience in verification of forests on compliance with international schemes or officially approved national schemes</li> </ul>
Land use criteria:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• experience in agriculture, agronomy, ecology, natural science, forestry, silviculture or similar, including specific technical skills needed to verify compliance with the highly biodiverse grasslands and highly biodiverse forest criteria</li> </ul> <p>NOTE: conversion of any grassland is prohibited according to the KZR INiG, thus the KZR INiG does not define extra requirements for auditing of such area</p>
Soil organic carbon levels for the purpose of applying the emission saving credit for soil carbon accumulation (e <sub>sca</sub> )	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• education in agriculture and specific knowledge in soil management</li> </ul>
Group auditing	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• at least 10 group audits. Group audit means the audit of one organization, covering external entities, where the sample of these external entities is taken.</li> </ul>

	<b>Certification system of sustainable biofuels, biomass fuels and bioliquids production</b>	Issue: 3 <sup>rd</sup>
		Date:19/12/2023
	<b>Guidelines for auditor and conduct of audit</b>	Page 8 of 47

Based on auditors' qualifications, certification body assigns auditor(s) to certify particular certification scopes.

The audit team shall have the competence, experience, and the generic and specific skills necessary for conducting the audit taking into account the scope of the audit. Where there is only one auditor, the auditor shall also have the competence to perform the duties of an audit team leader applicable for that audit. The certification body shall ensure that the certification decision is taken by a technical reviewer that was not part of the audit team.

### **5.1.2. Reliable presentation**

Persons who conduct audits must carry them out precisely and according to actual state. As a result of auditing activities, comprehensive and explicit findings of audit, audit conclusions, and audit reports are obtained. Proofs confirming meeting the KZR INiG criteria by a company shall be reported in audit documentation.

### **5.1.3. Independence**

Auditors must be independent from the activity being audited and also free from conflicts of interests. Auditors must be impartial throughout the auditing process.

### **5.1.4. Professional care**

In order to ensure proper fulfillment of their tasks and to justify the confidence bestowed upon them by auditees, auditors must demonstrate exactitude, scrupulousness and a sense of duty during the audit.

### **5.1.5. Confidentiality**


An appointed auditor or an audit team are obliged by the certification body to observe personal data protection rules and to maintain company commercial secrecy and trade secrets (publicly undisclosed technical, technological, or organizational information of the company, or other information with economic value, for which the entrepreneur has undertaken necessary actions to maintain confidentiality).

Each auditor is obliged to sign a "Declaration of confidentiality", attached in Annex 1 to this document.

### **5.1.6. The maintenance of competence**

The KZR INiG System pays particular attention to supervising the competence of auditors. For this reason, each certification body is obliged to introduce procedure(s) for supervising the competence of staff engaged in the KZR INiG certification process (not only auditors).



	<b>Certification system of sustainable biofuels, biomass fuels and bioliquids production</b>	Issue: 3 <sup>rd</sup>
		Date: 19/12/23
	<b>Guidelines for auditor and conduct of audit</b>	Page 9 of 47


This matter is a subject of surveillance audits carried out by the KZR INiG at certification bodies, according to internal monitoring (see *KZR INiG System/9*).

Auditors, before being appointed to as KZR INiG auditors, are required to attend trainings organised by KZR INiG, and to pass a final examination. Moreover, KZR INiG organizes, at least once a year, a training course for auditors, for exchanging experiences and enhancement of auditing, in which all authorized certification bodies are obliged to take part. This training always contains guidance to certification bodies and auditors, as required, on aspects that are relevant to the certification process. These may include, for example, updates to the regulatory framework or relevant findings resulting from internal monitoring. Information obtained during this training shall be distributed among staff engaged in the KZR INiG certification process. What is more, auditors shall undertake annually refresher training courses to ensure the professionalism of the auditors. It can be annually course organized by the KZR INiG, or by certification body. If the course is organized by CB, it shall reflect KZR INiG course and contain all KZR INiG course materials. It is a subject of verification during KZR INiG audits performed at CB (see internal monitoring requirements).

## 5.2. Requirements for technical expert

If needed, the auditing team may include a **technical expert** from a specific area. The expert is required to have specific knowledge of, among others:

- ✓ origin of data, e.g., maps, GPS, GIS, satellite photos;
- ✓ pedological knowledge in the determination/identification of peatlands and in carrying out evaluations of degraded areas;
- ✓ biological and ecological knowledge such as characteristic species, habitat types (e.g., greenland types, wetlands) and native species of trees;
- ✓ processes related to GHG emissions and their source in every investigated area (plant, broker, farm, etc.);
- ✓ collection and processing of source data, measurement techniques and calculation methods related to GHG emissions (*KZR INiG System /8/ Guidelines for determination of lifecycle per unit values of GHG emissions for biofuels, biomass fuels and bioliquids*);
- ✓ evaluation of the credibility of parameters (crops/yields expected under the relevant conditions of climate and management strategy; expected mass streams for individual production processes; etc.);
- ✓ valid legislation, regulations, and other requirements in nature protection in countries where the KZR INiG System operates (*KZR INiG System /6/ Land use for raw materials production – agricultural and environmental requirements and standards*). Knowledge in this area should include:

	<b>Certification system of sustainable biofuels, biomass fuels and bioliquids production</b>	Issue: 3 <sup>rd</sup>
		Date: 19/12/2023
	<b>Guidelines for auditor and conduct of audit</b>	Page 10 of 47

- ✓ local, regional, and national legal acts, decrees, and regulations,
- ✓ contracts and agreements,
- ✓ qualifications and experience in carrying out inspections of mass balance systems and at each individual stage of the supply chain (including balance of GHG emissions);
- ✓ knowledge and experience in the natural gas area, especially in the context of gas transmission system, liquefaction/regasification.

The expert's duty is to provide professional knowledge on the issue, and not to assess conformity with the KZR INiG requirements.

### 5.3. Technical reviewer

The certification body is obliged to set a technical reviewer. The technical reviewer is the function which can be named differently according to the internal procedures of CBs. However, the certification body shall ensure at least that the reviewer:

- ✓ is not a part of the audit team,
- ✓ has a competence to perform verification,
- ✓ is free of conflict of interest.


If validation of an audit is divided into two or more stages (e.g., formal verification, substantive verification etc.) technical reviewer requirements apply for substantive verification stage. The technical reviewer tasks can be carried out by one person or by a team. In the latter the certification body is obliged to defined particular scope of obligations for each member of the team. Substantive verification and decision taking shall be done by a person meeting requirement defined in a point 5.3.1.

#### 5.3.1. Technical reviewer – competences

The technical reviewer shall fulfil following requirements:

- ✓ at least one year of professional experience in the area of certification (e.g., work in a certification body involved in certification processes, person in charge for certified management system in a company);
- ✓ KZR INiG basic course with a positive result of the exam.

The technical reviewer is obliged to maintain his/her competences. Thus, he/she is obliged to participate in KZR INiG training (other than basic course) at least once a year. The training must be performed or by KZR INiG or by CB. The technical reviewer shall participate in the KZR INiG audit at least once a year. It may be as an auditor or as an observer.

	<b>Certification system of sustainable biofuels, biomass fuels and bioliq-uids production</b>	Issue: 3 <sup>rd</sup>
		Date: 19/12/23
	<b>Guidelines for auditor and conduct of audit</b>	Page 11 of 47

### 5.3.2. Technical reviewer – tasks

The technical reviewer is responsible for validating the results of audits.


### 5.4. Description of the conformity assessment process

The main purpose of the compliance assessment process is to check conformity of activities with the KZR INiG System requirements and to determine the effectiveness and efficiency of its operation.


Economic operators are audited prior to allowing them to participate in the scheme. The first audit of a new scheme participant shall always be on-site. The first certification is understood as first certification under the KZR INiG, whereas switching either CB goes under re-certification rules. Similarly, certification after a time break is also the re-certification.

The certification body shall have a process for selecting and appointing the audit team as it is set out in ISO 19011, taking into account the competence needed to achieve the objectives of the audit. Audit team composition and audit decision making process shall be aligned with ISO 19011 standard.

Figure 1 shows diagrammatically a typical audit.


	<b>Certification system of sustainable biofuels, biomass fuels and bioliquids production</b>	Issue: 3 <sup>rd</sup>
		Date:19/12/2023
<b>Guidelines for auditor and conduct of audit</b>		Page 12 of 47

**Figure 1 – Scheme of audit conduct**



**Note**


Beside of general guidance, CB shall take into account following issues:

	<b>Certification system of sustainable biofuels, biomass fuels and bioliquids production</b>	Issue: 3 <sup>rd</sup>
		Date: 19/12/23
	<b>Guidelines for auditor and conduct of audit</b>	Page 13 of 47

- CB identifies the activities undertaken by the economic operator which are relevant to the scheme's criteria;
- CB identifies the relevant systems of the economic operator and its overall organisation with respect to the scheme's criteria and checks for the effective implementation of relevant control systems;
- audit plan corresponds to the risk analysis and the scope and complexity of the economic operator's activities, and which defines the sampling methods to be used with respect to that operator's activities;
- auditor analyses the risks which could lead to a material misstatement, based on the auditor's professional knowledge and the information submitted by the economic operator. That analysis shall take into consideration the overall risk profile of the activities, depending on the level of risk of the economic operator and the supply chain, above all at the immediately upstream and downstream stages, for example, for economic operators that handle material listed in Annex IX of Directive 2018/2001. The audit intensity or scope, or both, shall be adapted to the level of overall risk identified, also based on plausibility checks of the production capacity of a plant and the declared quantities of produced fuels; a verification plan which corresponds to the risk analysis and the scope and complexity of the economic operator's activities, and which defines the sampling methods to be used with respect to that operator's activities;
- auditor carries out the verification plan by gathering evidence in accordance with the defined sampling methods, plus all relevant additional evidence, upon which the verifier's verification conclusion will be based;
- auditor can request the operator to provide any missing elements of audit trails, explain variations, or revise claims or calculations, before reaching a final verification conclusion;
- prior to re-certification of an economic operator that was previously found to be in critical or major non-conformity, or any other aspect of the mandatory sustainability criteria, the auditor should assess grade of this non-conformity and brings that to the attention of all voluntary scheme under which the operator is currently participating, or to which has applied for the process of re-certification. This requirement applies to any other voluntary schemes that the economic operator is participating in;
- auditor verifies the accuracy of data recorded by the economic operators or their representatives in the Union database.

#### 5.4.1. Types of non-conformities

Non-conformities are always assessed from the point of view of a risk of selling non-sustainable materials as sustainable. Non-conformities identified during an audit shall be classified as critical, major, and minor.

	<b>Certification system of sustainable biofuels, biomass fuels and bioliquids production</b>	Issue: 3 <sup>rd</sup>
		Date: 19/12/2023
	<b>Guidelines for auditor and conduct of audit</b>	Page 14 of 47

Economic operators shall not be able to make sustainability claims while suspended.

Suspended operators may not join another voluntary scheme until the suspension period has been lifted. The KZR INiG does not accept an economic operator whose certificate is suspended by other voluntary scheme until all issues being a reason of suspension are solved.

### **Critical non-conformities**

Critical non-conformities include the intentional violation of a voluntary scheme's standards such as fraud, a non-conformity that is irreversible, or one that jeopardises the integrity of the voluntary scheme.

Critical non-conformities shall include, but are not limited to, the following:

- (a) non-compliance with a mandatory requirement of Directive (EU)2018/2001, such as land conversion which contravenes Article 29(3), (4) and (5) of that Directive;
- (b) fraudulent issuance of a proof of sustainability or self-declarations, for example, intentional duplication of a proof of sustainability to seek financial benefit;
- (c) deliberate misstatement of raw material description, falsification of GHG values or input data as well as the deliberate production of wastes or residues, for example, the deliberate modification of a production process to produce additional residue material, or the deliberate contamination of a material with the intention of classifying it as a waste;


Economic operators applying for certification shall not be issued with a certificate. For surveillance or re certification audits, or through a voluntary scheme's internal monitoring or complaints process, critical non-conformities shall lead to the immediate withdrawal of the economic operator's certificate. Economic operators may re-apply for certification after 2 years from the date of the loss of validity of the certificate. This also applies to critical non-conformities detected under other, voluntary schemes.

In the case of critical non-conformities, the certifying body shall immediately inform the KZR INiG System Administrator. Depending on the magnitude of the non-conformity, the KZR INiG can impose appropriate recommendations (for example a request for additional documents, or participation of a KZR INiG auditor during the audit, etc.).

### **Major non-conformities**

Major non-conformities include the failure to comply with a mandatory requirement of Directive (EU) 2018/2001 where the non-conformity is potentially reversible, repeated and systematic problems, or aspects that either alone, or in combination with further non-conformities, may result in a fundamental system failure.

Major non-conformities shall include, but are not limited to, the following:

	<b>Certification system of sustainable biofuels, biomass fuels and bioliquids production</b>	Issue: 3 <sup>rd</sup>
		Date: 19/12/23
	<b>Guidelines for auditor and conduct of audit</b>	Page 15 of 47

- (a) systematic problems with mass balance or GHG data reported for example, incorrect documentation is identified in more than 10% of the claims included in the representative sample;
- (b) the omission of an economic operator to declare its participation in other voluntary schemes during the certification process;
- (c) failure to provide relevant information to auditors for example, mass balance data and audit reports.

In the case of major non-conformities, economic operators applying for certification shall not be issued a certificate. Major non-conformities identified during surveillance or re-certification audits, or through a voluntary scheme's internal monitoring or complaints process, shall lead to the immediate suspension of the economic operator's certificate. Where economic operators do not provide a remedy for any major non-conformities within 90 days from notification, the certificate shall be withdrawn the non-conformity is treated as critical non-conformity.

### **Minor non-conformities**

Minor non-conformities include aspects that result in a limited impact, isolated or temporary lapses, are non-systematic and do not result in a fundamental failure if not corrected.

Lead auditor decides about period of time to correct non-compliance, but not longer than 90 days. This period can be extended after obtaining KZR INiG approval, but not exceeding 12 months from their notification and the date of next surveillance or re-certification audit. Failure to meet the deadline results in rejecting of certificate issuing. Proofs of closure on non-conformities shall be sent to the lead auditor. If the economic operator is willing to change a certification body, all non-conformities shall be closed. Otherwise, the audit cannot be performed. If the previous certification body is no longer accredited by KZR INiG, proofs shall be sent to the KZR INiG office and KZR INiG decides about further actions.

The certificate can be issued after approval by the lead auditor's correction and corrective action.


Examples: omission of a parameter in a GHG data calculation that does not have a material impact on the GHG intensity reported.

In justified cases lead auditor may decide about surveillance audit.

### **Non-conformities at FGP stage**

Critical or major non-conformities of places of origin (members of FGP) identified during an audit are assessed as rules defined above and are treated as FGP non-conformities. If a critical or major non-compliance is identified in the whole initial group sample, then an additional sample of group members of the same size shall also be audited. Systemic non-compliance



	<b>Certification system of sustainable biofuels, biomass fuels and bioliquids production</b>	Issue: 3 <sup>rd</sup>
		Date: 19/12/2023
	<b>Guidelines for auditor and conduct of audit</b>	Page 16 of 47

of the majority of group members (places of origin) across the whole sample shall lead to the suspension or withdrawal of the whole group certification, as applicable.

## **6. Credibility and reliability of data**

In order to comply with the requirements of the System, as specified in the RED II, it is necessary to provide credible and reliable data. The range of data for verification varies, depending on the scope of the audit. Detailed descriptions of the required data sources, their types, and verification methods, depending on the area of the audit, may be found in the following documents:

<b>KZR INiG System/</b>	<b>Document No.</b>	<b>Document name</b>
<i>KZR INiG System/</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>/Land use for biomass production – lands with high carbon stock</i>
<i>KZR INiG System/</i>	<i>5</i>	<i>/Land use for biomass production – biodiversity</i>
<i>KZR INiG System/</i>	<i>6</i>	<i>/Land use for biomass production – agricultural and environmental requirements and standards</i>
<i>KZR INiG System/</i>	<i>7</i>	<i>/Guidance for proper functioning of mass balance system</i>
<i>KZR INiG System/</i>	<i>8</i>	<i>/Guidelines for determination of lifecycle per unit values of GHG emissions for biofuels, biomass fuels and bioliquids</i>
<i>KZR INiG System/</i>	<i>11</i>	<i>/Forest biomass</i>


Audited economic operators are obliged to declare the names of all schemes they participate in, and to make available to the auditor(s) all relevant information, including mass balance data, auditing reports, etc. Failure to declare any of this information will constitute a major non-compliance. System participants are obliged to immediately inform KZR INiG of the withdrawal of a sustainability certificate issued by other voluntary schemes. KZR INiG will evaluate each case individually and will decide upon what action should be taken. Recommendations are transferred to the certification body (if applicable) and are used as input data for risk analysis.

The auditor is obliged to verify the entire mass balance, even if it is run according to more than one voluntary scheme.

## **7. Auditing**

KZR INiG requires that economic operators successfully pass an initial audit before allowing them to participate in the scheme. The initial audit of a new KZR INiG participant or a re-certification of existing participant shall always be on-site and shall as a minimum provide




	<b>Certification system of sustainable biofuels, biomass fuels and bioliquids production</b>	Issue: 3 <sup>rd</sup>
		Date: 19/12/23
	<b>Guidelines for auditor and conduct of audit</b>	Page 17 of 47

reasonable assurance on the effectiveness of its internal processes. The concepts of “reasonable assurance engagement” and “limited assurance engagement” are to distinguish between the two types of assurance engagement a practitioner is permitted to perform. The objective of a reasonable assurance engagement is a reduction in assurance engagement risk to an acceptably low level in the circumstances of the engagement as the basis for a positive form of expression of the practitioner’s conclusion. The objective of a limited assurance engagement is a reduction in assurance engagement risk to a level that is acceptable in the circumstances of the engagement, but where that risk is greater than for a reasonable assurance engagement, as the basis for a negative form of expression of the practitioner’s conclusion. Depending on the risk analysis of the economic operator, limited assurance level can be applied on the veracity of its statements. On the basis of the results of the initial audit, those economic operators who are considered low risk may be subject to subsequent limited assurance audits. The certification decision shall be taken by a technical reviewer that was not part of the audit team. In the preparation of the initial on-site audit as well as during subsequent surveillance or re-certification audits, the auditor should make an appropriate analysis of the overall risk profile of economic operators. Based on the auditor’s professional knowledge and the information submitted by the economic operator, this analysis should take into consideration not only the level of risk of the specific economic operator but also of the supply chain (e.g. for economic operators that handle materials listed in Annex IX of Directive (EU) 2018/2001). The audit intensity, its scope, or both, should be adapted to the level of identified overall risk in order to ensure an adequate level of trust in the veracity of the information provided by the economic operators, mitigating the risks for material misstatements.

### 7.1. Farmers

For farmers group auditing is only possible for homogenous groups of farmers. Group audits for compliance with land criteria are permitted if the farms are near each other and have similar characteristics, such as climatic or soil conditions. Group auditing for the purpose of calculating GHG emissions is only acceptable when the agricultural producers have similar production systems and types of crops. Short rotation coppices are also subject to farmers certification rules. It is generally expected that group auditing is undertaken on-site, i.e., that auditors visit the individual farms where the feedstock is produced. However, desk audits are allowed under some circumstances (when a desk audit provides the same level of assurance as an on-site audit, but desk audit is not applicable for farmers supplying agricultural waste and residues). Desk audit shall be conducted at the same level of the risk (see risk analysis). Moreover, the auditor, before deciding on desk audit, must consider the availability of:

- ✓ high quality satellite images of the cultivation area, and databases regarding, for example, protected areas, areas with high biodiversity, peatland, etc. (both current and as of 1st January 2008);

	<b>Certification system of sustainable biofuels, biomass fuels and bioliquids production</b>	Issue: 3 <sup>rd</sup>
		Date: 19/12/2023
<b>Guidelines for auditor and conduct of audit</b>		Page 18 of 47

- ✓ reliable documents confirming land status (both current and as of 1st January 2008). Documents issued by governmental institutions (e.g., land register or documents, including satellite images, confirming participation in the EU support system (cross-compliance)) can be considered reliable.


A combined desk audit and on-site audit is possible. If documents provided by a farmer do not completely confirm land status or if satellite images are of poor quality, on-site audit and site surveys are needed. In such cases, on-site assessments such as interviews with local experts or communities could provide the necessary additional information. More guidelines about verification of land status can be found on the EC website [http://ec.europa.eu/energy/sites/ener/files/2011\\_bsc\\_inventory\\_of\\_data\\_sources\\_and\\_methodologies.pdf](http://ec.europa.eu/energy/sites/ener/files/2011_bsc_inventory_of_data_sources_and_methodologies.pdf).

Interviews with local experts or communities should take into account, for example:

- ❖ selection of a sufficient number and variety of local people;
- ❖ confidentiality, where desired;
- ❖ proper documentation: names and contact details, notes of oral interviews, copies of correspondence and/or written documents;
- ❖ notes or other information showing how information received was tested or corroborated.

### Verification of e<sub>sca</sub>

KZR INiG allows to perform group auditing of e<sub>sca</sub> if appropriate conditions are met (See system KZR INiG/8). As far as auditing is concerned, the auditors, certifying the e<sub>sca</sub> practice also in a group certification approach, will be obliged as part of the audit scope to initially verify and monitor during every re-certification or surveillance follow-up audit the area subject to the e<sub>sca</sub> practice. The objective of the e<sub>sca</sub> practice is to ensure that there is an effective carbon stock increase on the land subject to the e<sub>sca</sub> certification. At the same time, earlier withdrawal of a farmer from the group of farmers previously certified would mean that there is an effective risk that the accumulated carbon stock on the parcels in question could be released back into the atmosphere, basically offsetting the effects of the e<sub>sca</sub> practices from the previous years. Taking this into consideration, the carbon stock lost would not be compensated on a group level even if the same amount of hectares or even more enters the e<sub>sca</sub> practice the same year. Therefore, in case of a fluctuation the economic operator shall take into account on annual basis the impact of land withdrawn from the e<sub>sca</sub> certification in terms of biomass produced and respectively correct downwards the amount of the biomass resulting from the e<sub>sca</sub> practice. In case the same year new eligible parcels enter the group certification for the e<sub>sca</sub> practice, they should be subject to the initial baseline measurement and follow the path of 5- yearly measurements in case modelling is used.

	<b>Certification system of sustainable biofuels, biomass fuels and bioliquids production</b>	Issue: 3 <sup>rd</sup>
		Date: 19/12/23
	<b>Guidelines for auditor and conduct of audit</b>	Page 19 of 47

If an audit indicates that a farmer does not follow the  $e_{sca}$  rules, the farmer is excluded from the FGP and is not allowed to deliver goods during the next period of three years. FGP is obliged to recalculate  $e_{sca}$ , according to System KZR INiG/8.

Previous  $e_{sca}$  certification from a voluntary or national scheme, recognized by the Commission, would be enough to prove application of an  $e_{sca}$  practice in the past period.

## 7.2. Natural and non-natural highly biodiverse grassland

1. Auditors verifying whether land is highly biodiverse grassland as referred to in Article 29(3), point (d), of Directive (EU) 2018/2001 shall verify whether the land is or has been highly biodiverse grassland at any moment since January 2008. In their system documents, voluntary schemes shall inform the economic operators about the type of evidence, which their certification bodies may accept to prove historical area status since January 2008.

2. Where land remains grassland or would have remained grassland in the absence of human intervention and is located in any of the geographic ranges listed in Regulation (EU) No 1307/2014, it shall be considered as natural, highly biodiverse grassland.


3. For land that is located outside the areas referred to in paragraph 2, the auditor shall assess whether the grassland maintains, or would have maintained in the absence of human intervention, the natural species composition and ecological characteristics and processes. Where that is the case, the land shall be considered as being, or having been, natural, highly biodiverse grassland. Where grassland has already been converted to arable land and it is not possible to assess the characteristics of the land itself through information available from the national competent authorities or satellite imagery, the auditor shall consider such land as not having been highly biodiverse grassland at the moment of conversion.

4. Where the land ceased or would have ceased in the absence of human intervention, to be grassland, is species-rich and not degraded and has been identified as being highly biodiverse by the relevant competent authority, then the land shall be considered as non-natural, highly biodiverse grassland.

5. Any land that is, or was, non-natural, highly biodiverse grassland in or after January 2008 may be used for fuels production on condition that harvesting of the raw material is necessary to preserve the status of the grassland as highly biodiverse grassland and that current management practices do not present a risk of causing biodiversity decline of the grassland.

Economic operators shall provide evidence that the harvesting of the raw material is necessary to preserve the highly biodiverse grassland status and that management practices do not present a risk of causing biodiversity decline of the grassland.

Where economic operators are unable to provide the evidence referred to in the second subparagraph, they shall provide evidence that they have been granted permission by the relevant

	<b>Certification system of sustainable biofuels, biomass fuels and bioliquids production</b>	Issue: 3 <sup>rd</sup>
		Date: 19/12/2023
	<b>Guidelines for auditor and conduct of audit</b>	Page 20 of 47

competent authority, or designated agency, to harvest the raw material in order to preserve the highly biodiverse grassland status.

The technical assessment of the land shall be conducted by a qualified specialist who is external and independent of the activity being audited free and free from conflict of interest, and who may be part of the audit team. The assessment and its result shall be reviewed as part of the audit.

### 7.3. Lumber mills

Lumber mills are places of origin and can be audited individually or as part of a group - regardless of location. A sample of the lumber mills need to be audited on-site at initial certification but can be audited remotely for recertification audits. Re-certification also means certification by other certification body, but still under the KZR INiG scheme.

Desk audit is possible if:

- ✓ desk audit ensures the same level of assurance as on-site audit;
- ✓ lumber mill is registered by national authority; and
- ✓ reliable documents are available. Reliable means documents issued by governmental agencies or documents provided to governmental agencies, in order to confirm origin of biomass, in accordance with national legislation;
- ✓ the auditor is able to determine that the sawdust (or other residues) arising from the lumber mill are consistent with the size of the facility and production of the main product(s) (i.e. that residues are not deliberately being produced at the expense of the main product).


### 7.4. First Gathering Points (FGP)

Following rules apply for all group types (gathering wastes and residues, crops, forest biomass, agricultural waste and residues, sawdust, etc.). The economic operator who gathers raw materials from places of origin takes up the duties defined for FGP, regardless having other scope of certification.

Places of origin of raw materials can be audited individually or as part of a group. Places of origin supplying raw materials to a first gathering point, or farmers who are members of farming organisations and cooperatives, can be considered as a group. The same applies for owner of short rotation coppices.

Audit of the FGP is mandatory, the headquarter is audited onsite once a year.

A certificate is issued to FGP or to the headquarter of the organisation. Before issuing a certificate, an auditor must ensure that verification of the individual places of origin was carried out and completed.

	<b>Certification system of sustainable biofuels, biomass fuels and bioliquids production</b>	Issue: 3 <sup>rd</sup>
		Date: 19/12/23
	<b>Guidelines for auditor and conduct of audit</b>	Page 21 of 47

Economic operators included in a group audit shall designate a group manager (see KZR INiG System/1 point 7.2.). First gathering points, producer organisations or cooperatives, may also act as group managers, representing the economic operators included in the group audit.

### **Sample selection**


The minimum number of places of origin to be subjected to a random inspection is the square root of places of origin ( $\sqrt{x}$ , where  $x$  is the number of places of origin), rounded to the nearest integer. That number shall be increased in the event of a higher level of risk. The KZR INiG System establishes criteria for determining the general level of risk in the areas and the consequences of that level of risk for the auditing approach (see KZR INiG System/10 point 6. Risk evaluation). The sample must be representative of the whole group and determined using a combination of risk and random selection (random selection must be used to select a minimum of 25% of the sample). The PoOs selected for audit should vary from year to year.

### **Detection of non-conformity**

In case of detecting a serious violation of KZR INiG rules by the place of origin, the PoO can no longer supply sustainable raw materials to the First Gathering Point (FGP). This shall be reflected in a FGP's mass balance and necessary corrections made. If, after correction, the mass balance is accounted negative, then major non-conformity is found.

When any non-conformity is detected in case of more than 3.0 % of suppliers, the risk factor increased by one level (e.g. low risk is increased to medium risk, medium risk is increased to high risk). As a result, a sample of audited PoOs is increased accordingly. If a basic risk was assessed as high, numbers of audited PoOs are doubled.

If systemic non-conformity of suppliers is identified, then this shall be treated as a critical non-conformity of the FGP.

	<b>Certification system of sustainable biofuels, biomass fuels and bioliquids production</b>	Issue: 3 <sup>rd</sup>
		Date: 19/12/2023
	<b>Guidelines for auditor and conduct of audit</b>	Page 22 of 47

### First waste/residue gathering point

Waste is defined as in Article 3 (1) of the Waste Framework Directive 2008/98/EC. According to this definition, waste is as any substance or object which the holder discards or intends or is required to discard<sup>1</sup>. Raw materials or substances that have been intentionally modified or contaminated to meet this definition (e.g., by adding waste material to a material that was not waste) are not covered by this definition.

Residues can include:

- agricultural, aquacultural, fisheries and forestry residues, and
- residues originating from an industry.

Residue is a substance that is not the end product(s) that a production process directly seeks to produce; it is not the primary aim of the production process, and the process has not been deliberately modified to produce it.

The whole chain of custody needs to be covered by verification starting from its origin (i.e., the economic operator where the waste or residue material arises).

The auditor must determine whether a material is a waste or residue at the point in the supply chain the material originates.

It must be verified whether the waste/residues did not arise as a consequence of intentional addition of the waste to a good quality product. In particular, an auditor is obliged to verify conversion factors applied, especially in the case of residues to ensure that the process is not being modified to produce more waste or residue material.


In the case of using wastes and residues as a feedstock, the auditor is obliged to verify the origin of this feedstock.

All first waste/residue gathering, and utilization points need to be audited individually. Rules of carrying out an audit and issuing of certificate for the First waste/residue gathering/utilization point are the same as rules for other system participants, taking into account the details below.

During an audit at the first waste/residue gathering/gathering and utilization point, the correctness of the entrepreneur's mass balance system is verified, and also the origin of the feedstock and the correctness of the GHG emissions calculation, if applicable.

Gathering points shall submit a list of all points of origin that have signed a self-declaration and their indicative (monthly or annual) volume of waste or residue that they can supply to

<sup>1</sup>Including materials that have to be withdrawn from the market for health or safety reasons.

	<b>Certification system of sustainable biofuels, biomass fuels and bioliquids production</b>	Issue: 3 <sup>rd</sup>
		Date: 19/12/23
	<b>Guidelines for auditor and conduct of audit</b>	Page 23 of 47

the auditor prior to the audit of the collecting point. Evidence or documents for all individual deliveries shall be available at the collection point and verified by the auditor, including waste disposal agreement, delivery slips and self-declarations. The auditor shall verify the existence of at least the square root of the points of origin on the list. The verification can be undertaken remotely, unless there is doubt concerning the existence of the point of origin. During the audit of the First waste/residue collection/gathering and utilization point, verification of place of origin is also carried out. Places of origin supplying five or more tonnes per month of waste or residue listed in part A and B of Annex IX of the RED recast, shall be subject to an on-site audit. The on-site audit may be based on a sample where a group auditing approach is taken. Sample is calculated as the square root of the number of the waste/residues suppliers providing over five ton per month (rounded up to the nearest whole number), and is multiplied by the factor defined in section 8. The sample shall be selected by taking into account the following:

- the volume of supplies,
- variety of feedstock,
- variety of enterprises producing the wastes/residues.

Auditors have the right to carry out on-site audits at the origin of the waste/residues (e.g. restaurants), if required, regardless of the volume of material supplied.

During the on-site verification process at the place of origin of the waste/residues, the waste/residues supplier has a duty to confirm the findings of the verification process.

The results of an audit (i.e., findings, non-conformities, statement confirming compliance with the KZR INiG System, remarks) must always be confirmed by the waste/residues supplier.


Operators must declare to auditors the names of all voluntary schemes they operate in and make available all relevant information, e.g., the full mass balance records for a site.

In the case of auditing the waste/residues generated in households, the auditor, based on documents or, if necessary, inspection on-site, shall verify the origin of the feedstock.

The auditing documents shall include records concerning risk analysis and assessment, and also sample selection method.

An economic operator owning more than one waste/residue gathering or indirect gathering point, shall be treated as a multi-site operator and, as such, will be subject to the same audit procedures as a normal multi-site economic operator.



	<b>Certification system of sustainable biofuels, biomass fuels and bioliquids production</b>	Issue: 3 <sup>rd</sup>
		Date: 19/12/2023
	<b>Guidelines for auditor and conduct of audit</b>	Page 24 of 47

The number of waste/residues suppliers verified on site for every location is determined separately, i.e., it is determined as the square root of the number of suppliers providing more than five ton per month, rounded up to the nearest whole number.

Auditors assess not only documents connected with wastes/residues batch, but also assess it by visual inspection and assessing of physical and chemical properties.

Mandatory surveillance on-site audit by the certification body six months after the first (initial) certification (audit). For gathering points and traders that deal with both waste and residues and with virgin materials (e.g., vegetable oils), an additional surveillance on-site audit is conducted three months after the first certification audit (covering the first mass balance period).

The frequency and intensity of the auditing procedure needs to reflect the level of risk.


In case of recertification, if the risk is high, a surveillance audit shall be performed up to four months starting from the date of issuing of certificate. After that audit new risk analysis shall be carried out. If medium risk level is identified, the surveillance audit shall be performed up to seven months. Size of sample of audited documents increases respectively.

If there are reasonable doubts about the nature of the declared waste and residues, the auditor is authorised to take samples and to have them analysed by an independent laboratory.

The following additional requirements apply for the verification of the supply chain of biofuels and bioliquids made from waste and residue:

- ❖ auditors shall verify the existence of, and volume supplied from at least the square root of the places of origin on the list. The verification may be undertaken remotely, unless there is doubt concerning the existence of the place of origin, or if other criteria are met;
- ❖ auditors shall check deliveries of sustainable material to downstream recipients by verifying the copies of the sustainability declaration issued by the collecting point to recipients of those deliveries, based on a random and risk-based sample;
- ❖ a mandatory surveillance audit by the certification body six months after the first (initial) certification. For gathering points and traders that deal with both waste and residue and with virgin materials (e.g., vegetable oils), an additional surveillance audit is conducted three months after the first certification audit (covering the first mass balance period). Where a gathering point has multiple storage sites, the auditor shall audit the mass balance of every storage site.



	<b>Certification system of sustainable biofuels, biomass fuels and bioliquids production</b>	Issue: 3 <sup>rd</sup>
		Date: 19/12/23
	<b>Guidelines for auditor and conduct of audit</b>	Page 25 of 47

Special case of waste gathering point is an enterprise collecting municipal wastes. In this case no self-declaration and no verification of PoOs is needed. The auditor shall verify the amounts of municipal wastes forwarded to the recipient(s) based on estimated size of area of waste collection and an official statistical data regarding amounts of wastes per capita.

### **7.5. Multi-site economic operators**

If an economic operator of storage/tanks in a warehouse where biomass is not processed runs its business on more than one site (multi-site economic operator sharing a common management system, the following rules can be applied. The headquarters is audited onsite once a year. The audit of all sites must be carried out and completed before a certificate can be issued for the audited economic operator.

If the central office has implemented Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) System and the System covers all locations and documents confirming legalization of measuring devices is available, the audit of particular sites can be performed remotely. However, the existence of sites shall be verified.

Major non-conformity identified at any site causes revocation of the certificate for the whole company.

#### **Adding/removal site(s) during certification validity period**


If an economic operator intends to add a warehouse(s) or resign from sustainable activity in any location, he is obliged to inform KZR INiG about this. The CB decides if on site audit is needed or remote verification is performed. If the location is covered by the same management system, reliable documents are available, there is no doubt that the site exists, there is no new feedstock, then remote verification can be performed. If the site gathers materials from places of origin rules of verification of FGP apply.

#### **Removal of locations**

If the EO intends to resign from using a location, it is required to verify a mass balance It may be performed as desk audit if all needed documents are available at the office.

#### **NOTE**

Please see the definition of a site. Location where only office activity is performed is not treated as a site according to the KZR INiG System requirement and cannot be listed on the certificate.

	<b>Certification system of sustainable biofuels, biomass fuels and bioliquids production</b>	Issue: 3 <sup>rd</sup>
		Date: 19/12/2023
<b>Guidelines for auditor and conduct of audit</b>		Page 26 of 47


## 7.6. Biogas/biomethane plants

All auditing rules assigned to processing plant are to be applied for biogas/biomethane plant. Additionally following rules apply also:

- ✓ Auditor verifies physical connection between biogas/biomethane plant and natural gas grid based on both local vision and documents;
- ✓ Amounts injected into natural gas grid must be in line with the amounts stated in PoS, taking into account gas losses and registered in the UDB;
- ✓ Both for gas losses and liquefaction, if an actual GHG value is used, there needs to be a plausibility check by technical expert during the audit.
- ✓ Auditor verifies if the recipient(s) is (are) certified to an EC-recognised voluntary scheme. The auditor verifies: consistency of PoS issued by the biogas/biomethane plant and the recipient via UDB, physical connection to natural gas grid, invoices issued by gas supplier.
- ✓ During the recipient's audit, the auditor additionally verifies the quantity of biogas/biomethane declared in relation to total amounts of gas used (withdrawn from the natural gas grid) based on mass balance performed with the support of the Union Database.
- ✓ If GHG saving is calculated (Heat/Power plants), the correctness of calculated efficiency is verified;
- ✓ Auditing takes into account national legislation in the context of accounting for national's renewable target;
- ✓ If certification includes biogas (e.g. biomethane, biohydrogen) transition via natural gas grid or liquefaction/regasification plant, and economic operator declares to use actual GHG emission value, then gas losses and calculations of GHG emission for liquefaction/regasification stage shall be approved by a technical expert, unless the auditor can prove knowledge and experience in this area

## 7.7. GHG emissions

In the case of an audit calculation of the actual value of GHG emissions, auditors should be handed the necessary information far enough in advance. This includes input data and any relevant evidence, information on the emission factors and standard values applied and their reference sources, GHG emission calculations and evidence relating to the application of GHG emission saving credits ( $e_{ccr}$ ,  $e_{ccs}$ ,  $e_{sca}$ ).

	<b>Certification system of sustainable biofuels, biomass fuels and bioliquids production</b>	Issue: 3 <sup>rd</sup>
		Date: 19/12/23
	<b>Guidelines for auditor and conduct of audit</b>	Page 27 of 47

Each change in the calculation methodology (used standard calculation values of GHG emissions, etc.) introduced during the period of validity of the certificate must be approved by the auditor. Economic operators may only make actual GHG values claims after their capability to conduct actual value calculations has been verified by an audit.


The auditor shall record the emissions occurring at the audited site in the audit report. For the processing of final biofuels, the auditor shall record the emissions after allocation and the achieved savings. Where the emissions deviate significantly from the typical values (i.e. greater than 10%) or calculated actual values of emissions savings are abnormally high (greater than 30% deviation from default values), the audit report must also include reasons that can explain the deviation. What is more, the CB is obliged to send e-mail with the subject “Urgent [company name] the emissions deviate significantly from typical values” to the following address” [system.kzr@inig.pl](mailto:system.kzr@inig.pl)”. The body of the e-mail shall contain a description of the issue.

Auditors shall verify that the estimate of emissions saving from capture and replacement of CO<sub>2</sub> is limited to emissions avoided through the capture of CO<sub>2</sub> of which the carbon originates from biomass, and which is used to replace fossil-derived CO<sub>2</sub>. That verification requires access to the following information:

- (a) the purpose for which the captured CO<sub>2</sub> is used;
- (b) the origin of the CO<sub>2</sub> that is replaced;
- (c) the origin of the CO<sub>2</sub> that is captured;
- (d) information on emissions due to capturing and processing of CO<sub>2</sub>.

To supply evidence regarding the origin of the CO<sub>2</sub> that is replaced, operators using the captured CO<sub>2</sub> should state how the CO<sub>2</sub> that is replaced was previously generated and declare, in writing, that due to the replacement emissions of that quantity are avoided. The evidence must enable auditors to verify whether the requirements of Directive 2018/2001 are met including that emissions are actually avoided. For the purposes of point (b), economic operators using captured CO<sub>2</sub> may state how the CO<sub>2</sub> that is replaced was previously generated and declare, in writing, that emissions equivalent to that quantity are avoided as a consequence of the replacement. That evidence shall be considered sufficient to verify compliance with the requirements of Directive (EU) 2018/2001 and the avoidance of emissions.

Special care should be taken in case of verification of using default values. An auditor should verify if the process technology and feedstock, raw material match their description and scope. In particular an auditor is obliged to verify energy carrier used for processing, transport distance, if applicable.

	<b>Certification system of sustainable biofuels, biomass fuels and bioliquids production</b>	Issue: 3 <sup>rd</sup>
		Date: 19/12/2023
	<b>Guidelines for auditor and conduct of audit</b>	Page 28 of 47

## 7.8. Mass balance

Economic operators provide auditors with all mass balance data in advance of the audit. It is in particular:

- list of inputs and outputs;
- list of suppliers and recipients;
- mass balance settlement for a period(s) indicted by the auditor;
- number of transactions carried out in the period indicted by the auditor.


Based on above information the auditor assess time needed to perform on-site verification. It is reflected in the audit plan. Where a collection point has multiple storage sites, the auditor shall audit the mass balance of every storage site. It should be reflected in the check list i.e. the block devoted to verification of mass balance shall be fulfill for each site separately.

For an initial certification audit before participation in a scheme, the auditor shall check the existence and functioning of the mass balance system.

During subsequent audits, the auditor shall check at least the following elements:

- ✓ List of all sites that are under the scope of certification. Each site shall have its own mass balance records;
- ✓ List of all inputs per site, including description of materials and details of all suppliers;
- ✓ List of all outputs per site, including description of materials and details of all customers;
- ✓ Conversion factors applied, in particular in the case of installations processing waste or residues to ensure that the process is not modified to produce more waste or residue material;
- ✓ any discrepancies between book keeping system and inputs, outputs and balances. Please note that the auditor shall be allowed to verify data in relation to the entire accounting system;
- ✓ allocation of sustainability characteristics;
- ✓ equivalence of the sustainability data and the physical stock at the end of the mass balance period.

The mass balance records must contain information on both the inputs and the outputs of sustainable and unsustainable material (including where relevant fossil fuels) handled by the sites. Auditors verify a sample of the calculations (inputs, outputs, conversion factors, and any balances carried forward). All data should be checked against the bookkeeping system.

	<b>Certification system of sustainable biofuels, biomass fuels and bioliq-uids production</b>	Issue: 3 <sup>rd</sup>
		Date: 19/12/23
	<b>Guidelines for auditor and conduct of audit</b>	Page 29 of 47

Mass balance timeframe should be transparent, documented, consistent, and an appropriate period of time.

Inputs and outputs should be accompanied, where relevant, by a set of sustainability characteristics. Auditors should check that sustainability characteristics have been allocated appropriately. At the end of the mass balance period, the sustainability data carried forward should be equivalent to the physical stock.

If during the audit the auditor identifies discrepancy between the amount of biomass transferred as sustainable and amount of biomass reported to KZR INiG, the auditor informs immediately KZR INiG in a way provided by the scheme.

### **7.9. Union database**


Auditors need to verify that the entries in the Union Database of the certified economic operator correspond with the figures that are part of the economic operator's book keeping and net mass balance data or other encoded information on their entities or sites. Any deviations between data that has been registered in the Union Database and the respective data from the economic operator's documentation shall be immediately flagged in the audit report and to KZR INiG. Such discrepancies can lead to major non-conformities identified in the audit report and trigger a suspension of the certificate of the economic operator.

## **8. Risk evaluation**

The certification bodies recognized by the KZR INiG System are obliged to carry out a risk assessment before conducting an audit. Risk evaluation include analyse the risks which could lead to a material misstatement, based on the verifier's professional knowledge and the information submitted by the economic operator.

Risk evaluation shall take into account the credibility of the certified entity. If the certified entity has been placed on other certification systems' warning lists (both voluntary and national), the number of samples shall be increased accordingly. Findings from the complaints' procedures (as specified by the *KZR INiG System/I*) are also taken into account during risk analysis, if appropriate.

Risk analysis should take into account the assessment of technological potential of obtaining the specific sustainable product in the declared volumes.

	<b>Certification system of sustainable biofuels, biomass fuels and bioliquids production</b>	Issue: 3 <sup>rd</sup>
		Date: 19/12/2023
<b>Guidelines for auditor and conduct of audit</b>		Page 30 of 47

In the case of an audit of an agricultural producer, it is mandatory to use the risk factors stipulated by the KZR INiG System<sup>2</sup>.

For medium- or high-risk cases, the chosen representative sample (see *KZR INiG System/9*) must be multiplied by the risk factor given in the table below.

### 8.1. For EU countries


**Table 1. Risk factors for EU countries. FGP– agriculture (including agricultural wastes/residues)**

Risk	Description	Multiplication factor
<b>Low</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- farms are located within EU</li> <li>- lack of known land-use conflict</li> <li>- no expansion of an area for raw materials cultivation</li> <li>- complete and current documentation</li> <li>- available Self-declaration of agricultural producer</li> </ul>	<b>1</b>
<b>Medium</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- farms are not located close to sensitive environmental areas (woodlands, peatlands, wetlands, highly biodiverse lands)</li> <li>- little expansion of an area for raw materials cultivation</li> <li>- minor deficiencies in administrative documentation gathered by First gathering point</li> <li>- Self-declarations of agricultural producer not complete or not current</li> <li>- previous audit(s) revealed very few non-conformities</li> </ul>	<b>1,5</b>
<b>High</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- farms are located close to sensitive environmental areas (woodlands, peatlands, wetlands, highly biodiverse lands)</li> <li>- known information on land-use conflicts</li> <li>- planned expansion of area for raw materials cultivation</li> <li>- no required documentation (e.g. lack of Self-declaration of agricultural producer can lead to problems with guaranteeing compliance with KZR INiG requirements)</li> <li>- corrective action has not been undertaken after finding non-conformities in a previous audit</li> </ul>	<b>2</b>

When auditing First waste/residue gathering places, it is mandatory to use the risk factors specified by the KZR INiG System.

**Table 2. Risk factors for EU countries. First waste/residue gathering points (excluding forestry and agriculture)**

<sup>2</sup>Based on *These factors are formulated in correspondence to the Guidance document for the evaluation of the equivalence of organic producer group certification schemes applied in developing countries*, 6 November 2006.

	<b>Certification system of sustainable biofuels, biomass fuels and bioliquids production</b>	Issue: 3 <sup>rd</sup>
		Date: 19/12/23
	<b>Guidelines for auditor and conduct of audit</b>	Page 31 of 47

Risk	Description	Multiplication factor
<b>Low</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>– Waste/residues are received from a fixed group of suppliers (the same suppliers, regarding those supplying more than five ton per month)</li> <li>– records are kept in a clear, transparent way, with full traceability</li> <li>– previous audit(s) revealed no non-conformities</li> <li>– the entrepreneur is not on other systems' warning lists</li> </ul>	<b>1</b>
<b>Medium</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>– waste/residues are not received from a fixed group of suppliers (with regard to suppliers of more than five ton per month)</li> <li>– records are kept in a clear, transparent way, with full traceability</li> <li>– previous audit(s) revealed only minor non-conformities</li> <li>– the entrepreneur is not on other systems' warning lists</li> </ul>	<b>1,3</b>
<b>High</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>– waste/residues are not received from a fixed group of suppliers (with regard to those supplying more than five ton per month)</li> <li>– there are minor deficiencies in documentation connected with receiving waste/residues.</li> <li>– previous audit(s) revealed major non-conformities</li> </ul>	<b>1,8</b>

## 8.2. For non-EU countries


### Farms, first gathering point, first waste/residue gathering point/Economic operator gathering and processing waste and residues

If the First gathering point receives raw materials from a number of agricultural producers, and / or receives waste/residues from a number of places of origin, the minimum number of farms and/ or waste/residue places of origin to be audited is determined on the basis of a risk analysis and depends on the political, legal and economic factors occurring in the country. The risk analysis is carried out based on the criteria listed in the table below.

**Table 3. Risk factors for non-EU countries. FGP (excluding forestry and agriculture)**

Risk	Description	Multiplication factor
Low	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- The entity operates in a country associated with the EU</li> <li>- The country in which the entity operates belongs to the International Labour Organisation and has implemented the Conventions and Recommendations of that organisation,</li> <li>- The auditor is unaware of any violations of the laws on good agricultural practices and workplace practices in the country of operation of the entity</li> <li>- Farms do not border protected areas, peatlands, wetlands, forests, grassland, high biodiversity areas, or land with high carbon stock</li> <li>- Previous audit(s) revealed no non-conformities</li> </ul>	1
	- The entity operates in a state not associated with the EU,	1,8



	<b>Certification system of sustainable biofuels, biomass fuels and bioliquids production</b>	Issue: 3 <sup>rd</sup>
		Date:19/12/2023
<b>Guidelines for auditor and conduct of audit</b>		Page 32 of 47

Medium	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- The country in which the entity operates belongs to the International Labour Organisation</li> <li>- Few cases of violation of the laws on good agricultural practices and workplace practices in the country of operation of the entity are known to the auditor</li> <li>- Farms do not border protected areas, peatlands, wetlands, forests, grassland, high biodiversity areas, or land with high carbon stock</li> <li>- Previous audit(s) revealed no non-conformities</li> </ul>	
High	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- The entity operates in a state not associated with the EU,</li> <li>- The country in which the entity operates does not belong to the International Labour Organisation</li> <li>- Numerous/frequent violations of the laws on good agricultural practices and workplace practices in the country of operation of the entity are known to the auditor</li> <li>- Farms border protected areas, peatlands, wetlands, forests, grassland, high biodiversity areas, or land with high carbon stock</li> <li>- Previous audit(s) revealed minor non-conformities</li> </ul>	2,1

The minimum number of farms to be audited in such cases is the square root of x (where x is the number of farms), multiplied by the appropriate multiplication factor indicated in the table above.

The result (the minimum number of audited farms) is obtained by rounding the calculated value to the nearest whole number.

Audit of headquarter of first gathering point is mandatory.

### **Guidance for auditors and the conduct of audits of farm and economic entities operating outside the EU**


For verifying compliance with the requirements for the use of land for biomass production, the same requirements as those in the EU shall be adopted. The verification shall be carried out in accordance with the requirements specified in the following documents of the KZR INiG System: *Land use for raw materials production –lands with high carbon stock* (KZR INiG System/ 4); *Land use for raw materials production – biodiversity* (KZR INiG system/5);and *Land use for raw materials production – agricultural and environmental requirements and standards*(KZR INiG/6).

For verifying the correctness of the mass balance used by the producer / manufacturer, the requirements are the same as those specified in the document *Guidance for proper functioning of mass balance system* (KZR INiG System/7).

For verifying emissions calculations or the use of default values, the requirements are those specified in the document *Guidelines for the determination of the lifecycle per unit values of GHG emissions for biofuels, bioliquids* (KZR INiG System 8).

The additional measures described below should also be taken.



	<b>Certification system of sustainable biofuels, biomass fuels and bioliquids production</b>	Issue: 3 <sup>rd</sup>
		Date: 19/12/23
	<b>Guidelines for auditor and conduct of audit</b>	Page 33 of 47

### **Verification of the application of good agricultural practices**

This shall include verification procedures for the management and control of environmental impacts of agricultural production. The auditor shall analyse documents regarding quality management, training of employees and subcontractors, plans and methods for soil remediation and minimizing erosion; and then documents on the purchase and use of pesticides, herbicides and pesticides, their warehousing and storage, measurement, mixing, immediate preparation for spraying, as well as a list of persons responsible for their use and those persons' qualifications and, lastly, documents on the purchase, calibration, inspection and maintenance of equipment used on the farm, including machinery and spraying equipment. The auditor shall also review and assess documents regarding the purchase and use of seeds, the use of organic substances (e.g., natural fertilizers), measures for the prevention and monitoring of plant pests, and the potential to combat them.


The auditor shall confirm the credibility of documents, by making an on-site visit at the locations indicated by the relevant documents, to check the procedures used, e.g., storage of fertilizers and plant protection products in warehouses; shelters; places for preparing spraying solutions, etc. Auditors shall also check how packaging is dealt with after application of spraying solutions and / or other substances considered dangerous or harmful to humans and / or the environment. Conclusive in this regard may be, for example, the presence of, "wild" garbage dumps on or near the farm.

If farm animals are used, the auditor must verify that they have good living conditions, are healthy and properly fed, and have veterinary care.

Auditors should also confirm the veracity of the data in the analysed documents by talking to the appropriate employees. Specific questions on the use of good agricultural practices are listed in the checklist.

### **Verification of the application of good practices in the workplace for entities in countries outside the EU**

This should cover two main areas: the conditions under which workers are employed; and the conditions occurring in the workplace. The term "workplace conditions" must be understood as labor relations and occupational health and safety. The scope of verification should depend on the degree of risk of undesirable occurrences in the workplace. The risk analysis shall be carried out by the auditor before he/she conducts the on-site audit. Risk is assessed based on the following table:

	<b>Certification system of sustainable biofuels, biomass fuels and bioliquids production</b>	Issue: 3 <sup>rd</sup>
		Date: 19/12/2023
	<b>Guidelines for auditor and conduct of audit</b>	Page 34 of 47


**Table. 4 Risk analysis. Good practices in the workplace**

<b>Risk</b>	<b>Description</b>
Low	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- The entity operates in a country associated with the EU,</li> <li>- The country in which the entity operates belongs to the International Labour Organisation and has implemented the Conventions and Recommendations of that organization</li> <li>- The auditor is unaware of any violations of the laws of good practices in the workplace, in the country of operation of the entity</li> </ul>
Medium	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- The entity operates in a country not associated with the EU,</li> <li>- The country in which the entity operates belongs to the International Labour Organization</li> <li>- Few cases of violation of the laws of good workplace practices in the country of operation of the entity are known to the auditor</li> </ul>
High	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- The entity operates in a country not associated with the EU,</li> <li>- The country in which the entity operates does not belong to the International Labour Organisation</li> <li>- Numerous/frequent violations of the laws of good workplace practices in the country of operation of the entity are known to the auditor</li> </ul>

In every case, the auditor shall analyse the documents and procedures relating to employment (employment contract, payroll, list of safety training, safety instructions, fire, etc.) and verify their content through on-site visits to workplaces and by conducting interviews with employees. In cases of medium or high risk of undesirable occurrences in the workplace, extended interviews with employees shall be conducted. The interview should take place in a way that ensures privacy. The auditor should also ask the entity and employees for a written declaration confirming that the entity is not using illicit practices. In special cases (high risk), when there is suspicion of intimidation of workers or of forcing them to conceal the truth, the auditor can interview employees retired or removed from work. A detailed list of questions on the application of good practices in the workplace is given in the checklist.

### **Verification of the application of good social practices for entities in countries outside the EU**

Verification in the field of good social practice includes examining audited entities' social interaction and mutual relations with local communities and other stakeholders. Good social practice also means: compliance with national and local laws; implementation of commitments, agreements, contracts, and agreements; maintaining good relations with all parties concerned with the production process, or that are affected directly or indirectly; maintaining good relationships with all related groups, both professional and social, in particular minority groups based on ethnicity, religion, political beliefs, national origin, sexual orientation, etc. Before the audit, the risk of adverse events in the country of operation of the audited entity should be analysed. This risk is assessed according to the following table:


	<b>Certification system of sustainable biofuels, biomass fuels and bioliquids production</b>	Issue: 3 <sup>rd</sup>
		Date: 19/12/23
	<b>Guidelines for auditor and conduct of audit</b>	Page 35 of 47

**Table. 5 Risk analysis. Good social practices**

Risk	Description
Low	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- The country in which the audited entity operates has a stable political and legal system guaranteeing peaceful succession of governance</li> <li>- The country in which the entity operates has implemented legislation to effectively prosecute cases of tax fraud, accounting fraud, corruption, cheating of customers, etc.; and rights against persecution of individuals or groups because of ethnicity, religion, political views, professed values, etc. are respected</li> <li>- No cases of unpunished persecution of individuals or social groups because of their ethnicity, religion, political views, professed values, etc., in the country of operation of the entity are known to the auditor</li> <li>- Any disputes arising from non-compliance in the field of social relations are fairly dealt with and resolved by the courts of the country in which the audited entity operates</li> </ul>
Medium	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- The country in which the audited entity operates has a stable political and legal system guaranteeing peaceful succession of governance, but operating on its territory are powerful political groups and / or political parties aimed at changing the regime</li> <li>- The country in which the entity operates has implemented legislation to enable the prosecution of cases of tax fraud, accounting fraud, corruption, cheating of customers, etc., but rights against persecution of individuals or groups because of ethnicity, religion, political views, professed values, etc. are not fully respected</li> <li>- Isolated cases of unpunished persecution of individuals or social groups because of their ethnicity, religion, political views, professed values, etc., in the country of operation of the entity are known to the auditor</li> <li>- Disputes arising from non-compliance in the field of social relations are not always reliably processed and settled by the courts of the country in which the audited entity operates</li> </ul>
High	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- The country in which the audited entity operates has an unstable political system</li> <li>- The country in which the entity operates does not have an effective legal system that could successfully prosecute cases of tax fraud, accounting fraud, corruption, cheating of customers, etc., and there are no laws to prevent the persecution of individuals or groups because of their ethnicity, religion, political views, professed values, etc.</li> <li>- Widespread instances of unpunished persecution of individuals or social groups because of their ethnic, religious, political, professed values, etc., in the country of operations of the entity, are known to the auditor</li> <li>- Disputes arising from non-conformity in the field of social relations are not fairly dealt with and resolved by the courts of the country in which operates the audited entity,</li> <li>- In the country in which operates the audited entity, acts of violence (armed groups, criminal groups, etc.) of a political, religious, ownership, professed values, nature etc. occur.</li> </ul>

In every case, the auditor shall carry out an analysis of the following documents: agreements, contracts, liabilities, receipts, deeds.

In the case of medium-or high risk, the auditor should carry out additional discussions with employees regarding compliance with good social practices and should consult other entities engaged in commercial relationships with the entity being audited and / or consult local communities, trade unions, contractors, subcontractors, authorities, etc., in order to verify the correctness of data derived from the audited entity. The auditor should also ask the entity and its

	<b>Certification system of sustainable biofuels, biomass fuels and bioliquids production</b>	Issue: 3 <sup>rd</sup>
		Date: 19/12/2023
<b>Guidelines for auditor and conduct of audit</b>		Page 36 of 47

employees for a written declaration confirming that the entity is not using illicit practices. In special cases (high risk), the auditor should consult international organizations (non-governmental, religious, charities, human rights, etc.), but only if they have reliable data on the audited entity and its activities. A detailed list of questions on the application of good social practices is specified in the checklist.


## **9. References**

- <sup>i</sup> EN ISO 14040, Environmental management -- Life cycle assessment -- Principles and framework.*
- <sup>ii</sup> ISO 14064-3, Greenhouse gases – Part 1: Specification with guidance at the organization level for quantification and reporting of greenhouse gas emissions and removals.*
- <sup>iii</sup> ISO 14065, Greenhouse gases – Requirements for greenhouse gas validation and verification bodies for use in accreditation or other forms of recognition.*

## **10. Annexes list**

**1. Annex 1 – Confidentiality Declaration Form**

**2. Annex 2 – Checklist Attached separately**

	<b>Certification system of sustainable biofuels, biomass fuels and bioliquids production</b>	Issue: 3 <sup>rd</sup>
		Date: 19/12/23
	<b>Guidelines for auditor and conduct of audit</b>	Page 37 of 47

### Confidentiality Declaration Form

Place, date: .....

.....  
*Name and surname of the person making the declaration*

.....  
*Place of employment*

.....  
*Residence*

**I hereby agree to:**


- a) observe rules defined by the certification body, including rules of confidentiality and independence from commercial or other interests;
- b) protect and maintain all information obtained during activities related to the compliance assessment process, including, among others:
  - production technologies used,
  - structural and techno-organizational solutions;
- c) ensure independence of my actions in order to avoid infringement of important interests of auditees.

Furthermore, I declare that I am not involved in any activity that might conflict with the independence and reliability of actions concerning the compliance assessment and certification process or quality management systems, and I hereby undertake/ promise not to become involved in such activities, particularly in consulting on/ about quality management systems being certified.

Moreover, I hereby undertake/promise to declare any former or current connections with organizations that I am assigned to assess in the future.

.....  
*(Signature of Manager of the certification body)*


.....  
*(signature of the person making the declaration)*

 <small>INSTYTUT NAFTY I GAZU</small>	<b>Certification system of sustainable biofuels and bioliquids production, and biocomponent manufacturing</b>	Issue: 3 <sup>rd</sup>
		Date: 19.12.2023
	<b>Guidelines for auditor and conduct of audit</b>  <i>Annex 2 – Checklist</i>	Page 38 of 47

## 11. Changes compared to the previous edition

Date	Section	Previous requirement	Current requirement
31/08/22	3.	EN ISO/IEC 17021 Conformity assessment – Requirements for bodies providing audit and certification of management systems.	<u>Removed</u>
31/08/22	5.1.1.	-	<u>Added:</u> Auditors shall demonstrate competences in the area in which they perform verification. Auditors shall be appointed to verification of defined certification area (e.g., verification of FGP, GHG emission etc.)
31/08/22	5.1.1.	In accordance with KZR INiG System requirements, the audit team must have proper qualifications. In particular, the audit team shall: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• have 3 years of professional experience, including at least 2 years working in the relevant area of quality and/or environmental management system auditing</li> <li>• complete a training course (40 hours) in management systems auditing, to ISO 19011 or equivalent standard, carried out by a training body that issues certificates upon course completion;</li> <li>• conduct audits in accordance with the requirements of the ISO 19011 standard;</li> <li>• prove participation in at least certification and/or surveillance of ten audits, totalling 15 days, of management systems quality, environment, or another voluntary scheme recognised by the European Commission, as lead auditor;</li> <li>• have knowledge of the KZR INiG System requirements (KZR INiG System /1/ Description of INiG System of Sustainability</li> </ul>	In accordance with KZR INiG System requirements, the audit team must have proper qualifications.  Each auditor shall demonstrate at least: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- have 3 years of professional experience, including at least 2 years working in the relevant area of quality and/or environmental management system auditing;</li> <li>- complete a training course (40 hours) in management systems auditing, to ISO 19011 or equivalent standard, carried out by a training body that issues certificates upon course completion;</li> <li>- conduct audits in accordance with the requirements of the ISO 19011 standard;</li> <li>- prove participation in at least certification and/or surveillance of 10 audits, totalling 15 days of management systems quality, environment, or another voluntary scheme recognised by the European Commission, as lead auditor;</li> </ul>

KZR INiG System/10	Cracow, December 2023	Issue No 3
--------------------	-----------------------	------------

	<b>Certification system of sustainable biofuels, biomass fuels and bioliquids production</b>	Issue: 3 <sup>rd</sup>
	<b>Guidelines for auditor and conduct of audit</b>	Date: 19/12/23
		Page 39 of 47

		<p>Criteria – general rules) and other KZR INiG System documents. Successfully complete training in the KZR INiG System requirements (positive results of an exam);</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• have the appropriate specific skills and experience to assess land-use criteria, mass balance systems, calculation of GHG emissions (e.g. relevant experience in agriculture, ecology, mass balance systems, supply chain logistics, book keeping, traceability, data handling, knowledge of ISO14040i, ISO 14064-3ii, and ISO 14065iii standards, methodology of evaluation of GHG emissions in life cycle of products, including the RED II methodology). Auditors verifying the calculation of actual GHG emissions need to have the appropriate specific skills, including relevant experience in this field; Auditors verifying economic operators according to given certification pathway need to have specific knowledge confirmed by educations, trainings, work experience.</li> <li>• In case of group auditing have an experience in conducting group audits.</li> </ul> <p>Beside of above-mentioned criteria, in case of certification of particular certification scope, auditors have to meet additional requirements. For auditors who do not meet following criteria, but intend to gain relevant qualification, they have to participate at least in 5 audits (30 hours) as trainee auditors and pass evaluation during witness audit.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- have knowledge of the KZR INiG System requirements</li> <li>- successfully complete training in the KZR INiG System requirements (positive result of an exam).</li> </ul> <p>In order to maintain appointment of the auditor, the auditor has to carry out at least one KZR INiG audit per year. Otherwise, the auditor is suspended. Re-appointment may be made if the auditor passes the KZR INiG basic training with positive result of the exam and passes the evaluation according to internal CB rules. Please note that all current competency requirements for the auditor shall be met.</p> <p>Beside of above-mentioned criteria, in case of certification of particular certification scope, auditors have to meet additional requirements. For auditors who do not meet following criteria, but intend to gain relevant qualification, they must participate at least in 5 audits (30 hours) as trainee auditor and pass evaluation during witness audit. Evaluation is performed according to the internal CB's rules</p>
	5.1.1. Table extended	-	Added NOTE in qualification regarding auditing verification of GHG emissions (all certification pathways), and





**Certification system of sustainable biofuels and bioliquids production, and biocomponent manufacturing**  
**Guidelines for auditor and conduct of audit**

Issue: 3<sup>rd</sup>


Date: 19.12.2023

Page 40 of 47

*Annex 2 – Checklist*

			clarified requirements regarding auditing Land use criteria
31/08/22	5.1.1.	If there is only one auditor, the auditor shall have the competence to perform the duties of an audit team leader applicable for that audit. The certification body shall also ensure that the certification decision is taken by a technical reviewer that was not part of the audit team.	The audit team shall have the competence, experience, and the generic and specific skills necessary for conducting the audit taking into account the scope of the audit. Where there is only one auditor, the auditor shall also have the competence to perform the duties of an audit team leader applicable for that audit. The certification body shall ensure that the certification decision is taken by a technical reviewer that was not part of the audit team.
31/08/22	5.3	-	<u>Added subparagraph regarding Technical reviewer</u>
31/08/22	5.4. Description of the conformity assessment process	<p>Beside of general guidance, CB shall take into account following issues:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- CB identifies the activities undertaken by the economic operator which are relevant to the scheme's criteria;</li> <li>- CB identifies the relevant systems of the economic operator and its overall organisation with respect to the scheme's criteria and checks for the effective implementation of relevant control systems;</li> <li>- Audit plan corresponds to the risk analysis and the scope and complexity of the economic operator's activities, and which defines the sampling methods to be used with respect to that operator's activities;</li> <li>- Auditor carries out the verification plan by gathering evidence in accordance with the defined sampling methods, plus all relevant additional evidence, upon which the verifier's verification conclusion will be based;</li> </ul>	<p>Beside of general guidance, CB shall take into account following issues:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- CB identifies the activities undertaken by the economic operator which are relevant to the scheme's criteria;</li> <li>- CB identifies the relevant systems of the economic operator and its overall organisation with respect to the scheme's criteria and checks for the effective implementation of relevant control systems;</li> <li>- audit plan corresponds to the risk analysis and the scope and complexity of the economic operator's activities, and which defines the sampling methods to be used with respect to that operator's activities;</li> <li>- auditor analyses the risks which could lead to a material misstatement, based on the auditor's professional knowledge and the information submitted by the economic operator. That analysis shall take into consideration the overall risk profile of the activities, depending on the level of risk of the economic operator and the</li> </ul>



	<b>Certification system of sustainable biofuels, biomass fuels and bioliquids production</b>	Issue: 3 <sup>rd</sup>
	<b>Guidelines for auditor and conduct of audit</b>	Date: 19/12/23
		Page 41 of 47

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Auditor can request the operator to provide any missing elements of audit trails, explain variations, or revise claims or calculations, before reaching a final verification conclusion;</li> <li>- Prior to re-certification of an economic operator that was previously found to be in major non-conformity, or any other aspect of the mandatory sustainability criteria, the auditor should assess grade of this non-conformity and if consider, the auditor should bring this to the attention of the voluntary scheme under which the operator is in the process of re-certification. This requirement applies to any other voluntary schemes that the economic operator is participating in.</li> </ul>	<p>supply chain, above all at the immediately upstream and downstream stages, for example, for economic operators that handle material listed in Annex IX of Directive 2018/2001. The audit intensity or scope, or both, shall be adapted to the level of overall risk identified, also based on plausibility checks of the production capacity of a plant and the declared quantities of produced fuels; a verification plan which corresponds to the risk analysis and the scope and complexity of the economic operator's activities, and which defines the sampling methods to be used with respect to that operator's activities;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- auditor carries out the verification plan by gathering evidence in accordance with the defined sampling methods, plus all relevant additional evidence, upon which the verifier's verification conclusion will be based;</li> <li>- auditor can request the operator to provide any missing elements of audit trails, explain variations, or revise claims or calculations, before reaching a final verification conclusion;</li> <li>- prior to re-certification of an economic operator that was previously found to be in critical or major non-conformity, or any other aspect of the mandatory sustainability criteria, the auditor should assess grade of this non-conformity and brings that to the attention of all voluntary scheme under which the operator is currently participating, or to which has applied for the process of re-certification. This requirement applies to any other voluntary schemes that the economic operator is participating in.</li> </ul>
--	--	---	---



**Certification system of sustainable biofuels and bioliquids production, and biocomponent manufacturing**  
**Guidelines for auditor and conduct of audit**


Issue: 3<sup>rd</sup>

Date: 19.12.2023

Page 42 of 47

*Annex 2 – Checklist*

			- auditor verifies the accuracy of data recorded by the economic operators or their representatives in the Union database;
31/08/22	5.4.1.	Types of non-conformities	Updated sub-paragraphs regarding non-conformities and the requirements
31/08/22	6. Credibility and reliability of data (previous 5.3)	In the case of an audit calculation of the actual value of GHG emissions, auditors should be handed the necessary information far enough in advance. This includes input data and any relevant evidence, information on the emission factors and standard values applied and their reference sources, GHG emission calculations and evidence relating to the application of GHG emission saving credits (eccr, eccs, esca).	Removed
31/08/22	5.7.1. Farmers	For farmers group auditing is only possible for homogenous groups of farmers. Group audits for compliance with land criteria are permitted if the farms are near each other and have similar characteristics. Group auditing for the purpose of calculating GHG emissions is only acceptable when the agricultural producers have similar production systems and products. Short rotation coppices are also subject to farmers certification rules.	For farmers group auditing is only possible for homogenous groups of farmers. Group audits for compliance with land criteria are permitted if the farms are near each other and have similar characteristics, such as climatic or soil conditions. Group auditing for the purpose of calculating GHG emissions is only acceptable when the agricultural producers have similar production systems and types of crops. Short rotation coppices are also subject to farmers certification rules.
31/08/22	5.7.1.	-	Added: Critical or major non-compliance of individual group members identified during an audit shall be addressed according to process set out under point 5.3 of the KZR INiG System/10. If a critical or major non-compliance is identified in the whole initial group sample, then an additional sample of group members of the same size shall also be audited. Systemic non-compliance of the majority of group members

	<b>Certification system of sustainable biofuels, biomass fuels and bioliquids production</b>	Issue: 3 <sup>rd</sup>
		Date: 19/12/23
	<b>Guidelines for auditor and conduct of audit</b>	Page 43 of 47

			across the whole sample shall lead to the suspension or withdrawal of the whole group certification, as applicable.
31/08/22	7.2.	-	Added sub-chapter regarding auditing of natural and non-natural highly-biodiverse grassland
31/08/22	7.4 (previous 5.4.3)	-	Added: Economic operators included in a group audit shall designate a group manager (see KZR INiG System/1 point 7.2.). First gathering points, producer organisations or cooperatives, may also act as group managers, representing the economic operators included in the group audit.
31/08/22	7.4 (previous 5.4.3) Sample selection	The minimum number of places of origin to be subjected to a random inspection is the square root of places of origin (x, where x is the number of places of origin), or 10% whichever is higher, rounded up to the nearest whole number. The sample must be representative of the whole group and determined using a combination of risk and random selection (random selection must be used to select a minimum of 25% of the sample). The total number to be audited PoOs is calculated by multiplying the square root by risk factors (see point 6. Risk evaluation). The PoOs selected for audit should vary from year to year.	The minimum number of places of origin to be subjected to a random inspection is the square root of places of origin (x, where x is the number of places of origin), rounded to the nearest integer. That number shall be increased in the event of a higher level of risk. The KZR INiG System establishes criteria for determining the general level of risk in the areas and the consequences of that level of risk for the auditing approach (see KZR INiG System/10 point 6. Risk evaluation). The sample must be representative of the whole group and determined using a combination of risk and random selection (random selection must be used to select a minimum of 25% of the sample). The PoOs selected for audit should vary from year to year.
31/08/22	7.4 (previous 5.4.3) First waste/residue gathering point		Updated and extended rules regarding the procedure of auditing First waste/residue gathering point
31/08/22	8 and 9	-	Added chapters 8 – GHG emissions and chapter 9 – Mass balance
19/12/23	5.1.1.	- prove participation in at least certification and/or surveillance of ten audits, totalling 15 days,	- prove participation in at least certification and/or surveillance of 10 audits, totaling 15 days according

KZR INiG System /10	Cracow, December 2023	Directive 2018/2001
---------------------	-----------------------	---------------------



**Certification system of sustainable biofuels and bioliquids production, and biocomponent manufacturing**  
**Guidelines for auditor and conduct of audit**


Issue: 3<sup>rd</sup>

Date: 19.12.2023

Page 44 of 47

*Annex 2 – Checklist*

		of management systems quality, environment, or another voluntary scheme recognised by the European Commission, as lead auditor	to ISO, CEN, voluntary scheme recognised by the European Commission, EU Regulations or similar as auditor;
19/12/23	5.1.1.	-	Updated and extended description of requirements for the following qualifications: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Verification of GHG emissions (all certification pathways including GHG emissions of recycled carbon fuels and renewable fuels of non-biological origin)</li> <li>- Waste/residue gathering point</li> <li>- Group auditing</li> </ul>
19/12/23	5.2. (previous 5.1.1.)	-	Added requirement for technical expert <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- knowledge and experience in the natural gas area, especially in the context of gas transmission system, liquefaction/regasification.</li> </ul>
19/12/23	5.4.1. (previous 5.2.)	Major non-conformities include [...] Where economic operators do not provide a remedy for any major non-conformities within 90 days from notification, the certificate shall be withdrawn	Major non-conformities include [...] Where economic operators do not provide a remedy for any major non-conformities within 90 days from notification, the certificate shall be withdrawn . the non-conformity is treated as critical non-conformity.
19/12/23	5.4.1. (previous 5.2.)	-	Added : Non- conformities at FGP stage. Critical or major non-conformities of places of origin (members of FGP) identified during an audit are assessed as rules defined above and are treated as FGP non-conformities. If a critical or major non-compliance is identified in the whole initial group sample, then an additional sample of group members of the same size shall also be audited. Systemic non-compliance of the majority of group members (places of origin) across the whole sample shall

	<b>Certification system of sustainable biofuels, biomass fuels and bioliquids production</b>	Issue: 3 <sup>rd</sup>
		Date: 19/12/23
	<b>Guidelines for auditor and conduct of audit</b>	Page 45 of 47

			<p>lead to the suspension or withdrawal of the whole group certification, as applicable.</p> <p>The above description has been removed from the point 7.1.</p>
19/12/23	7. (previous 5.4.)	<p>KZR INiG requires that economic operators successfully pass an initial audit before allowing them to participate in the scheme. The initial audit of a new KZR INiG participant or a re-certification of existing participant shall always be on-site and shall as a minimum provide reasonable assurance on the effectiveness of its internal processes. Depending on the risk ...</p>	<p>KZR INiG requires that economic operators successfully pass an initial audit before allowing them to participate in the scheme. The initial audit of a new KZR INiG participant or a re-certification of existing participant shall always be on-site and shall as a minimum provide reasonable assurance on the effectiveness of its internal processes. The concepts of “reasonable assurance engagement” and “limited assurance engagement” are to distinguish between the two types of assurance engagement a practitioner is permitted to perform. The objective of a reasonable assurance engagement is a reduction in assurance engagement risk to an acceptably low level in the circumstances of the engagement as the basis for a positive form of expression of the practitioner’s conclusion. The objective of a limited assurance engagement is a reduction in assurance engagement risk to a level that is acceptable in the circumstances of the engagement, but where that risk is greater than for a reasonable assurance engagement, as the basis for a negative form of expression of the practitioner’s conclusion. Depending on the risk ...</p>
19/12/23	7.1. (previous 5.4.1. Farmers)	-	Added Verification of e <sub>sca</sub>
19/12/23	7.3. (previous 5.4.2. Lumber mills)	-	<p>Removed</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- lumber mill is certified according to FSC or PEFC, providing that the report is delivered to the auditor prior the audit and the scope of FSC/PEFC certification covers wastes;</li> </ul> <p>(previously 5.4.2. Lumber mills)</p>



**Certification system of sustainable biofuels and bioliquids production, and biocomponent manufacturing**  
**Guidelines for auditor and conduct of audit**


Issue: 3<sup>rd</sup>

Date: 19.12.2023

Page 46 of 47

*Annex 2 – Checklist*

19/12/23	7.4 (previous 5.4.3. First Gathering Points (FGP))	<p>First waste/residue gathering point [...]</p> <p>Sample is calculated as the square root of the number of the waste/residues suppliers providing over one ton per month (rounded up to the nearest whole number), and is multiplied by the factor defined in section 6. The sample shall be selected by taking into account the following: [...]</p> <p>Mandatory surveillance audit by the certification body six months after the first (initial) certification (audit). For gathering points and traders that deal with both waste and residues and with virgin materials (e.g., vegetable oils), an additional surveillance audit is conducted three months after the first certification audit (covering the first mass balance period).</p>	<p>First waste/residue gathering point [...]</p> <p>Sample is calculated as the square root of the number of the waste/residues suppliers providing over five ton per month (rounded up to the nearest whole number), and is multiplied by the factor defined in section 8. The sample shall be selected by taking into account the following: [...]</p> <p>Mandatory surveillance on-site audit by the certification body six months after the first (initial) certification (audit). For gathering points and traders that deal with both waste and residues and with virgin materials (e.g., vegetable oils), an additional surveillance on-site audit is conducted three months after the first certification audit (covering the first mass balance period).</p>
19/12/23	7.5. (previous 5.4.4.) Multi-site economic operators	-	Updated and changed description 7.5. Multi-site economic operators ...
19/12/23	7.6. (previous 5.4.5.) Biogas/biomethane plants	-	Updated and changed description 7.6. Biogas/biomethane plants
19/12/23	7.7.	Where the emissions deviate significantly from typical values or calculated actual values of emissions savings are abnormally high, reasons shall be given for the deviations in the report.	Where the emissions deviate significantly from the typical values (i.e. greater than 10%), or calculated actual values of emissions savings are abnormally high (greater than 30% deviation from default values), the audit report must also include reasons that can explain the deviation
19/12/23	7.8.	Based on above information the auditor assess time needed to perform on-site verification. It is reflected in the audit plan.	Based on above information the auditor assess time needed to perform on-site verification. It is reflected in the audit plan. Where a collection point has multiple storage sites, the auditor shall audit the mass balance of every storage site. It should be reflected in

	<b>Certification system of sustainable biofuels, biomass fuels and bioliquids production</b>	Issue: 3 <sup>rd</sup>
		Date: 19/12/23
	<b>Guidelines for auditor and conduct of audit</b>	Page 47 of 47

			<p>the check list i.e. the block devoted to verification of mass balance shall be fulfill for each site separately.</p> <p>[...]</p> <p>Added</p> <p>If during the audit the auditor identifies discrepancy between the amount of biomass transferred as sustainable and amount of biomass reported to KZR INiG, the auditor informs immediately KZR INiG in a way provided by the scheme.</p>
19/12/23	7.9	-	<p>Added</p> <p>7.9. Union database</p> <p>Auditors need to verify that the entries in the Union Database of the certified economic operator correspond with the figures that are part of the economic operator's book keeping and net mass balance data or other encoded information on their entities or sites. Any deviations between data that has been registered in the Union Database and the respective data from the economic operator's documentation shall be immediately flagged in the audit report and to KZR INiG. Such discrepancies can lead to major non-conformities identified in the audit report and trigger a suspension of the certificate of the economic operator.</p>
19/12/23	8.	-	<p>Removed</p> <p>Certification bodies shall establish at least a "limited assurance level" when conducting audits. A "limited assurance level" implies a reduction in risk to an acceptable level as the basis for a negative form of expression by the auditor such as "based on our assessment nothing has come to our attention to cause us to believe that there are errors in the evidence".</p>